UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,315	09/28/2006	Thomas Peter Sabroe	3893-0230PUS2	8221	
2292 7590 03/29/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER		
			QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/29/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/549,315	SABROE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sabiha Qazi	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 No</u>	ovember 2009					
	action is non-final.					
·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-34,36-44 and 47-52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>44,47,51,52 and 54-57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-34,36-43 and 48-50</u> is/are rejected						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>44,47,51,52 and 54-57</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election require	ment.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/16/05,10/6/06,1/10/07</u> . 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/549,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

Non-Final Office Action

Claims 30-34, 36-44, 47-52, 54-57 are pending. No claim is allowed at this time. All the pre-amendments are entered.

Summary of this Office Action dated February 28, 2010

- 1. Information Disclosure Statement
- 2. Copending Applications
- 3. Specification
- 4. 35 USC § 112 (1) Written Description Rejection
- 5. 35 USC § 112 (2) Rejection
- 6. Response to Remarks
- 7. Communication

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Copending Applications

Applicants must bring to the attention of the examiner, or other Office official involved with the examination of a particular application, information within their knowledge as to other copending United States applications, which are "material to patentability" of the application in question. See MPEP 2001.06(b). See Dayco Products Inc. v. Total Containment Inc., 66 USPQ2d 1801 (CA FC 2003).

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

35 USC § 112 (1) Written Description Rejection

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/549,315 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 30-34, 36-43 and 48-50 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Following reasons apply:
- 3. Citation of "chiral reducing agent" in claim 30 is not described in the specification. Applicant is requested to particularly point out the support in the specification. Examiner will withdrawn this rejection when the support in the specification will be considered.
- 4. It is unclear what the meaning of "general" in claims is? For example in claim 30 recitation of "general structure III" the "general" is unclear.

 Applicant is requested to explain or delete this from the all the claims.

Response to Remarks

Applicant's response filed on 2/8/10 and election of group I with traverse is hereby acknowledged. On Applicant request and arguments are found persuasive therefore, claims 48-50 are joined with elected group I.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,315

Art Unit: 1612

The basis of the arguments is that the special technical feature is same. Examiner respectfully disagrees that all the claims should be examined. It will be a burden on the examiner because the groups are different and search for one group will not be the same as for other group. Similarly one reference may not by used to reject all the claims. For example claim 44 and its dependent claims are drawn to a method for making vitamin D compounds of formula III which is not the same as method of reducing the compounds of formula III.

It will be an undue burden on the examiner to examine all the invention as has been claimed.

In order to expedite the process Applicant may consider calling the Examiner to discuss the issues.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day except Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Krass Frederick can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

Application/Control Number: 10/549,315 Page 6

Art Unit: 1612

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sabiha Qazi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612